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MOBILITY ACCORDING TO NOSTRADAMUS
While the COVID-19 pandemic has in many ways left the world of physical mobility in suspended animation, new opportunities have emerged and may well be leading to a paradigm shift in approaches to internationalisation. The Summer 2021 issue of *Forum* thus explores whether alternatives to physical mobility are now gaining new ground, and what this might mean for the status of physical mobility. Conscious that student participation levels in outbound mobility were low prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, we sought contributions that assessed the opportunities and challenges afforded by the current moment of transition. I am indebted to fellow members of the EAIE Publications Committee Dr Jos Beelen and Ragnhild Solvi Berg, who joined me in reviewing submissions for this edition.

Authored by Tiina Piipponen from the EAIE Expert Community Mobility Advising, the opening article in this issue argues passionately that mobility still matters, and points to the need for increased staff professionalism in the face of an ever more complex external environment. Presenting an alternative view, Joyce Gelling from the Netherlands highlights the impact on institutions of immobile students, noting that new and alternative modes of mobility have required a range of adaptations to local systems and processes. While we generally consider staff and student mobility to be optional, Sjur Bergan (Head of the Education Department at the Council of Europe) looks instead at forced and involuntary mobility, taking the example of refugees and other displaced people and outlining the merits of the European Qualifications Passport for Refugees initiative.

Returning to voluntary mobility, Matt Greig and Björn Nyström from Sweden argue that the case for physical mobility is now clearer and stronger than before in the face of online fatigue and corona angst. Drawing from their experience as members of the Erasmus Student Network, Tajana Mohnacki, Wim Gabriëls and Kaspars Abelnica nevertheless advocate for a student-centred approach to the development of new mobility programmes and the educational methodologies which underpin them. Meanwhile, Nawazish Azim and Syed Rahamat Ali from King Abdullah University of Science and Technology in Saudi Arabia outline the pros and cons of building digital community spaces via virtual exchange, and emphasise the importance of maintaining fluid and permeable transitions between physical and virtual mobility.

The interviewee for this issue is a student, Sorcha Leveque, a dual Irish/French citizen who has been studying in the UK and who has seen her mobility programmes interrupted twice for different reasons. Sorcha’s reflections on her experience are fascinating, and I’m delighted that we could include a student testimonial in this issue.

Access to outbound mobility has been a key area of focus for institutions and mobility professionals for many years. As such, articles from Ainslie Moore and Brett Berquist (New Zealand) and Hugo Buitrago Carvajal, Nathália Cristina do Rosário and Jessica Schueller (Columbia, Brazil and Finland) will be of particular interest for those seeking to enable greater access, whether through virtual learning abroad, through other local opportunities or via transnational education. Maximilian Köster from Germany then usefully questions whether institutions have the appropriate support structures in place to facilitate new forms of virtual mobility.

A cluster of articles then looks at the particular situation of study mobility within Europe. Ana Beaven, Francesca Helm and Sara Pittarello from Italy outline different models of virtual exchange, drawing on the European Commission’s recent Erasmus+ Virtual Exchange initiative. Kyriaki Rousou and Nadia Manzoni from the Directorate-General for Education, Youth, Sport and Culture in the European Commission then highlight the evolution of the new Erasmus+ programme, with its horizontal priorities of inclusion, digitalisation, environmental sustainability and active citizenship. Michael Gaebel from the European University Association provides a timely status update on study mobility in Europe and looks forward to its next phase.

Closing out this issue, Randall Martin from the British Columbia Council for International Education in Canada invites us to reflect on new beginnings and the current opportunity to redesign mobility for a new world.

—DOUGLAS PROCTOR, EDITOR
PUBLICATIONS@EAIE.ORG
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The mobility of students and scholars between centres of learning predates both the nation-state and the university. It can be argued that ‘international’ existed before ‘national’. Aristotle of Macedon was a student of Plato in Athens (approx. 368 BC), perhaps one of the first foreign students on record. More recently, post-Cold War global stability has been fundamental to the international higher education sector’s success. With mobility now in the balance, pondering its historical influences and trajectories might better inform our anticipation of its future.

THE PROPHET AND THE PLAGUE

In 1555, Nostradamus predicted that after a period of disturbance, “the world becomes smaller, for a long time the lands will be inhabited peacefully, people will travel safely through the sky over land and seas.” Pre-pandemic, there were conservatively over seven million students abroad, as well as countless academics, practitioners and road warriors engaging in foreign climes. Despite occasional roadblocks since mass mobility became ‘a thing’ (SARS, MERS, 9-11, Asian Currency Crisis, BSE, Black Monday etc – most of which, by the way, Nostradamus had a lot to say about), the sector has done scant introspection and had little motivation to revisit the value proposition of this successful endeavour.

But, “good advice comes too late.” Nostradamus variously predicted “diverse plagues upon mankind, … the great plague of the maritime city, … great calamity through America and Lombardy.” With COVID-19, mobility has truly been visited by a plague of Biblical proportions, as if Samson himself brought the pillars of the sector crashing down upon the academy, seduced as he was by the foreigners from Philistia. The borders are closed, the ships idle, and mobility devastated.

The sector is awaiting a return to normalcy, but like a second marriage, this may represent the victory of hope over experience. Are we designing the return to normal, or merely waiting for the return of a recent and nostalgic past?

NOSTRADAMUS THE EXCHANGE STUDENT

Ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny. Experience begets behaviour. Reliance on historical precedent is perhaps our best recourse to divine how mobility will return, and it is instructive to consult the experts. Michel de Nostredame was born in France (1503) into a Jewish family that converted to Catholicism prior to his birth; his quatrains are rife with Biblical imagery. He entered Avignon Université, which then closed during an outbreak of the plague. When lockdowns were rescinded, he became a poster boy for mobility and resumed PhD studies at Université de Montpellier, but was soon kicked out due to his part-time work as an apothecary, or hobby pharmacist. He worked variously as an apothecary, astrologer, physician, seer and finally a prolific author in serial publications. His opus Les Prophéties is a collection of obscure quatrains predicting the future. He denied that he was a prophet, rather that he was merely reading signs and basing predictions on ‘judicial astrology’.

Today’s academy is similarly prone to reading signs that might be omens, or those we choose to read, nuanced by whatever hope or despair we are disposed to. We make informed predictions supported by what we know to be true, what we think we know to be true, and what we hope to be true: mobility is universally missed; it is important,
transformational and fundamental to internationalisation, research and the academy; internationalisation is now embedded deeply into the core mission of higher education; economies demand international experience and intercultural skills; governments need to support international education; technology is part of the solution; we will build back better. If all of this were true, and if it were shared currency with senior levels of our economies, governments and institutions, we should have little to worry about.

PRAGMATIC PROPHECY

But we are worried. A reckoning over globalisation has been brewing since before COVID, and the pendulum was already swinging against our open world order. Democracy was in retreat, authoritarianism everywhere rewarded, walls erected, ships of homeless, tired and hungry pushed back to sea, and even cosmopolitanism contained strands of nationalism. COVID then nationalised supply chains, weaponised interdependence and in some ways can be seen as a logical conclusion, an exclamation mark on the global liberalism enjoyed for 30 years. This may be temporary.

Negativity is why people consistently underestimate the progress of humanity. Bad things happen suddenly and with immediate effect: the Spanish flu, World Wars, the roadblocks, COVID merely the latest. Pessimism fosters caution. There is a crack in everything; that’s how the light gets in. The instinct to focus on problems is sound, as it means things often get fixed.

Optimism argues that things are not falling apart. Good things are diffuse and happen incrementally, in spurts. Progress is not a steady march: no Obama, no Trump; no Trump, no Biden. Curiosity, youth and wanderlust will prevail, science will win, memories will lapse, and history will repeat itself better.

Pragmatism suggests that mobility will return, changed but recognisable. But still, we shouldn’t waste the pandemic. There are things we should be talking about. Despite being a core tenet of internationalisation, mobility has been historically elitist. From the Grand Tour to the Semester Abroad, mobility has built programmes for the demographically privileged and conversely further privileged them by their participation.

WHY MOBILITY?

We also need to answer the question of “Why mobility?” for the unconverted. It is only since World War Two that nation-states have actively supported structured mobility through programmes such as Fulbright. Events from 1985–1995 (eg, Reagan, Thatcher, perestroika, the fall of the Berlin Wall, the Cold War) relaxed borders, encouraged mobility and trade, and raised the speed and trajectory of globalisation. It is not coincidental that this same period saw the birth of Erasmus (1987) as part of an EU trade–bloc–and–nation–building exercise, the creation of NAFTA trilateral consortia (1993) for the same purpose, the repurposing of the term ‘internationalisation’ as the academic antithesis to globalisation (1994), and the birth of mass mobility and export education. It became imperative to support mobility to create globally literate populations, interculturalised workers and interconnected economies. Universities may have always been international institutions, but globalisation altered the means and manner of government support, altered attitudes towards revenue, expenditure and international experience, and branded education as an export service rather than a social good. But with the recent weaponisation of interdependence, global economic recovery will increasingly restructure along regional lines with more local supply chains, and these will colour future mobility.

Plato argued that it is the role of the state to educate its citizenry, to educate each individual “for the place and work to which he is best fitted.” Aristotle chimed in that “the state should educate its citizenry to play their parts in society.” Our sector will not likely dictate how mobility will re-emerge but it can greatly influence its emergence.

Given the scarcity of original texts and the ambiguity of the quatrains, few have accurately translated Nostradamus’ 16th-century French, allowing great latitude in interpretation. Many still believe the prophecies, although only in hindsight can they agree on what Nostradamus predicted. With mobility in the balance, our sector can similarly interpret the signs using judicial astrology, or we can make plans based upon what we know. We can watch passively as predictions come to pass, or we can help author a novel future for mobility marrying experience and core principles with perhaps some inevitable baggage from the pandemic. “Let those who read this verse consider it profoundly.”

— RANDALL MARTIN